2020-2021 Nassau Education Foundation Teacher Grant Scoring Rubric

| Grant Title | | School Name | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|-------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Subject Area | | Grade Level | | | | | | |
| | NEF GRANT SCREENING | | | | | | | |
| Overall Project | Concept | | | | | | | |
| Does this project learning experie | nal YES / NO | | | | | | | |
| Project Goal | | | | | | | | |
| Does this project | | | | | | | | |
| Increase | YES / NO / NA | | | | | | | |
| Increase teacher knowledge and/or performance. | | | YES / NO / NA | | | | | |
| Timeline | | | | | | | | |
| The timeline for be submitted wi | will YES / NO | | | | | | | |
| Cost | | | | | | | | |
| This project doe | YES / NO | | | | | | | |
| Technology | | | | | | | | |
| This grant propo | YES / NO | | | | | | | |

| Grant Qualifies for | Reader Panel | YES/NO |
|---------------------|--------------|--------|
| Notes: | | |
| | | |

2020-2021 Nassau Education Foundation Teacher Grant Scoring Rubric

| Project Description (10 points maximum) Max Pts Score | Grant Title | | School Name | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---------------------------|---------------|---|-------|--|--|--|
| Project Description (10 points maximum) Max Pts Score | Subject Area | | Grade Level | | | | | | |
| Overall Merit: A detailed description of activities is included. (e.g., timeline, connection to curriculum, potential for permanent integration, potential for replication, students impacted, emphasis on creativity or professional development, building on previous grant or project). Creativity: The project is innovative or creative or hasn't been done before. Replication: The project might serve as a model and could easily be replicated in other classes or in other schools. Materials from professional development can be shared with school staff. Budget (5 points maximum) Max Pts Score Cost: The project cost is reasonable given the number of students that will benefit or the project fulfills a very specific and important need. Total Cost: Approximate # of Students Impacted: 2 Project Sustainability: Benefits of this project are short term = 1 pt Benefits of this project are long term = 2 pts Additional Notes: Date Reviewed: Date Reviewed: | NEF Reader Panel | | | | | | | | |
| Overall Merit: A detailed description of activities is included. (e.g., timeline, connection to curriculum, potential for permanent integration, potential for replication, students impacted, emphasis on creativity or professional development, building on previous grant or project). Creativity: The project is innovative or creative or hasn't been done before. Replication: The project might serve as a model and could easily be replicated in other classes or in other schools. Materials from professional development can be shared with school staff. Budget (5 points maximum) Max Pts Score Cost: The project cost is reasonable given the number of students that will benefit or the project fulfills a very specific and important need. Total Cost: Approximate # of Students Impacted: Project Sustainability: Benefits of this project are short term = 1 pt Benefits of this project are long term = 2 pts Additional Notes: Reviewer's Signature: Date Reviewed: | Project Descrip | otion (10 points maximum) | | | | T | | | |
| (e.g., timeline, connection to curriculum, potential for permanent integration, potential for replication, students impacted, emphasis on creativity or professional development, building on previous grant or project). Creativity: The project is innovative or creative or hasn't been done before. Replication: The project might serve as a model and could easily be replicated in other classes or in other schools. Materials from professional development can be shared with school staff. Budget (5 points maximum) Max Pts Score Cost: The project cost is reasonable given the number of students that will benefit or the project fulfills a very specific and important need. Total Cost: Approximate # of Students Impacted: Project Sustainability: Benefits of this project are short term = 1 pt Benefits of this project are long term = 2 pts Additional Notes: Reviewer's Signature: Date Reviewed: | | | | | | Score | | | |
| Replication: The project might serve as a model and could easily be replicated in other classes or in other schools. Materials from professional development can be shared with school staff. Budget (5 points maximum) Max Pts | | | | 5 | | | | | |
| other classes or in other schools. Materials from professional development can be shared with school staff. Budget (5 points maximum) Max Pts | Creativity: The | project is innovative or creativ | e or hasn't been o | lone before. | 3 | | | | |
| Cost: The project cost is reasonable given the number of students that will benefit or the project fulfills a very specific and important need. Total Cost: Approximate # of Students Impacted: Project Sustainability: Benefits of this project are short term = 1 pt Benefits of this project are long term = 2 pts Additional Notes: Reviewer's Signature: Date Reviewed: | Replication: The project might serve as a model and could easily be replicated in other classes or in other schools. Materials from professional development can be shared with school staff. | | | 2 | | | | | |
| Cost: The project cost is reasonable given the number of students that will benefit or the project fulfills a very specific and important need. Total Cost: Approximate # of Students Impacted: Project Sustainability: Benefits of this project are short term = 1 pt Benefits of this project are long term = 2 pts Additional Notes: Reviewer's Signature: Date Reviewed: | Budget (5 point | s maximum) | | | | | | | |
| or the project fulfills a very specific and important need. Total Cost: Approximate # of Students Impacted: Project Sustainability: Benefits of this project are short term = 1 pt Benefits of this project are long term = 2 pts Additional Notes: Reviewer's Signature: Date Reviewed: | | | | | | Score | | | |
| Reviewer's Signature: Date Reviewed: | Cost: The project cost is reasonable given the number of students that will benefit or the project fulfills a very specific and important need. Total Cost: Approximate # of Students Impacted: | | | 3 | | | | | |
| Reviewer's Signature: Date Reviewed: | Project Sustain | | | • | 2 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | • | | Da | ate Reviewed: | | | | | |
| Is this a Grant | | | | | | | | | |

to Watch?: YES or NO

2020-2021 Nassau Education Foundation Teacher Grant Scoring Rubric

General Information

Questions Prior to or During Submitting Online Grant Application

- If you have questions related to grant submission please contact Nassau Education
 Foundation Grant Committee Chair, Kim Lunt: klunt@nassaueducationfoundation.org or
 Executive Director, Abby Bean: abean@nassaueducationfoundation.org.
- Questions about project specifics will not be answered. Applicants should follow the criteria in the scoring rubric.

Grant Funding Cycle

- Grants are for the 2020-2021 school year.
- Funds should be spent between Monday, July 20, 2020 and Friday, October 9, 2020.
- If funds are for a project or materials that will not be paid for by October 9th please specify in the application (e.g., field trips, subscriptions, professional development).
- Grantees that require more time to spend funds should contact Abby Bean: abean@nassaueducationfoundation.org.

Grant Scoring Process

- Members of the Nassau Education Foundation Grant Committee reader panel will score all qualified grant applications.
- Each application will be independently scored by at least three panelists.
- A moderator will meet with grant readers to review their scores.
- The total scores from each reader will be added up and divided by three to determine an average score.
- The three highest scoring grants from each school will be awarded provided they meet all criteria and funds are available.
- After the top scoring grants from each school have been distributed, awards will continue in rank order until the Nassau Education Foundation 2020-2021 grant funds are depleted.

Grant Disqualifications

The following will likely disqualify a grant application:

- Grants that don't demonstrate that they enhance or support existing curriculum.
- Grants submitted for prohibited items, such as food, furniture and incentives.
- Grants submitted for technology that are not approved by the technology department.
- Grants that can't be paid for in one school year.
- Grants that are for items/services/professional development that already have allocated district funding.

Questions for Grantees

- If the Nassau Education Foundation Grant Committee has questions related to a grant application, they should contact the committee chair, Kim Lunt, who in turn will contact school or district staff for clarification.
- Grant readers will not contact teachers, school staff or Nassau County School District staff. Only Committee Chair Kim Lunt will contact them.

Unfunded Grants - If a grant remains unfunded after all NEF funds are distributed, it <u>may</u> be posted on the Find It, Fund It website.